



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/570,918	12/15/2006	Michael Helbig	12400-063	3834
757	7590	07/24/2009	EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			ENGLISH, JAMES A	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	3616			
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
07/24/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/570,918	Applicant(s) HELBIG ET AL.
	Examiner James English	Art Unit 3616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 July 2009.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 7-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 7-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-166/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103


1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 4-5, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klages et al. (US 5,560,647) in view of Gray et al. (US 2002/0153710).

With respect to claim 1, Klages et al. discloses a housing (10) comprising: a main housing structure (Modified Fig. 4 – below) and a housing cover (Mod. Fig. 4) that is connected with the main housing structure to define a hollow space (Mod. Fig. 4; col. 3, lines 10-12) for accommodation of the gas generator and the airbag, the housing cover (10) is configured to have an outer side (12) that faces the vehicle occupants when installed in the motor vehicle, the housing cover (10) having an inner side (14) opposite the outer side (12), the inner side (14) having at least one side edge material weakness (36, 38) formed therein which is torn open upon deployment of the airbag defining an edge (40) of the housing cover (10) (col. 2, lines 40-43, lines 63-67, col. 3, lines 1-5), the inner side (12) having a hinge material weakness (28; Mod. Fig. 4) formed therein defining a hinge (28) that folds to form a cover flap (42; Fig. 5) upon deployment of the airbag to open the housing cover (10), the cover flap (42) having a portion of the housing cover (10) including the edge (40), the side edge material weakness (36, 38)

and hinge material weakness (28) are invisible (col. 3, lines 5-6) as viewed along the outer side of the housing cover by the vehicle occupants, and the housing cover (Mod. Fig. 4) is connected (32, 34) with the main housing structure (Mod. Fig. 4) by a perforated section (30; col. 2, lines 48-51) that tears open upon deployment of the airbag allowing the hinge (28) to fold, wherein the perforated section (30) has one or more perforations (col. 2, lines 51-52) formed completely through a part of the main housing structure that extends away (Figs. 2-4) from the housing cover adjacent to the inner side (14) and which is covered by the housing cover when installed in the motor vehicle so as to not be visible to the vehicle occupants (col. 1, lines 48-50.) Klages et al. discloses an airbag canister housing (col. 3, lines 10-12) but does not disclose a gas generator and an airbag. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the airbag canister housing in Klages et al. contain a gas generator and airbag as it is well known in the art that an airbag housing contains an airbag and gas generator. Klages et al. anticipates the inner side having a hinge material weakness formed therein defining a hinge as the ends of the grooves (36, 38). (Col. 2, lines 63-67 and col. 3, lines 1-6.) In the alternative, Gray et al. discloses the inner side (17) of the airbag cover (10) having a hinge material weakness (50) formed therein defining a hinge. (Fig. 3, paragraphs 115-116.) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the hinge material weakness formed in the inner side defining a hinge as described in Gray et al. into the invention of Klages et al. to facilitate proper airbag deployment. (Paragraph 116, lines 1-4.)



With respect to claim 4, Klages et al. discloses the perforated section (30) is formed on a vehicle body side housing section (Mod. Fig. 4 - above) of the housing (10). The perforated section is concealed from the occupant and is located inside the vehicle formed on a vehicle body side housing section (Mod. Fig. 4) of the housing. (Figs. 2-3, col. 1, lines 47-53.)

With respect to claim 5, Klages et al. discloses the perforated section (30) has the one or more perforations formed between bridges, which create a connection between a vehicle body side housing section and the housing cover of the housing and wherein the connection fails upon deployment of the airbag causing the housing cover to tear away from the main housing structure as the housing cover tears at the at least one side edge material weakness (36, 38) and hinges about the hinge material weakness (28). (Fig. 4, col. 2, lines 44-54 and col. 3, lines 10-29.)

With respect to claim 8, Klages et al. discloses the hinge material weakness (28) is formed on the inner side (14) of housing cover (10), which is close to and parallel to an axis of rotation of the housing cover (10) upon deployment of the airbag. (Fig. 5.)

With respect to claim 9, Klages et al. discloses the hinge material weakness (28) in the area of a flap axis is formed in such a way that the housing cover (10) does not tear open there. (Fig. 5.)

With respect to claim 10, Klages et al. discloses the housing (10) comprises a plastic material. (Col. 1, lines 44-46.)

3. Claims 3, 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klages et al. and Gray et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Enders (US 5,799,970).

With respect to claims 3, Klages et al., as modified, discloses the perforation section (30) defines an axis of a tear line (Fig. 3) of perforation that is oriented generally perpendicular, not parallel, to a vertical axis of the motor vehicle. Klages et al. discloses the airbag cover is adapted to the field of automotive airbags but does not specifically disclose application to side airbags. (Col. 1, lines 5-7.) Enders teaches of a side airbag with a cover (36) arranged vertically in the vehicle seat. (Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 50-62 and col. 6, lines 16-42.) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the airbag cover placed vertically in a vehicle seat as described in Enders into the invention of Klages et al., as modified, to facilitate proper airbag deployment, wherein the perforation section (30) would have a tear line axis parallel to the vehicle's vertical axis.

With respect to claim 7, Klages et al., as modified, discloses the perforated section (30) is arranged generally horizontally, not vertically, and the at least one side edge material weakness (36, 38) and the hinge material weakness (28) are arranged generally horizontally, not vertically, to one another. Enders teaches of a side airbag with a cover (36) arranged vertically in the vehicle seat. (Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 50-62 and col. 6, lines 16-42.) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the airbag cover placed vertically in a vehicle seat as described in Enders into the invention of Klages et al. to facilitate proper airbag deployment, wherein the perforation section (30) would be arranged vertically and the at least one side edge material weakness (36, 38) and the hinge material weakness (28) would be arranged vertically to one another.

With respect to claim 11, Klages et al., as modified, discloses the airbag cover is adapted to the field of automotive airbags but does not specifically disclose application to side airbags. (Col. 1, lines 5-7.) Enders teaches of a side airbag with a cover (36) arranged vertically in the vehicle seat. (Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 50-62 and col. 6, lines 16-42.) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the airbag cover used in a side airbag device as described in Enders into the invention of Klages et al. to facilitate proper airbag deployment.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references cited on the PTO-892 form disclose similar features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James English whose telephone number is (571)270-7014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 - 4:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul N. Dickson can be reached on (571)272-7742. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James English/
Examiner, Art Unit 3616

/Ruth Ilan/

Application/Control Number: 10/570,918

Art Unit: 3616

Page 8

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3616